

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/602,791		RUGG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Julie Anne Watko		2627	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Assignee's Rep. Robert J. Pechman, Reg. No. 45002.

(2) Primary Examiner Julie Anne Watko, AU 2627.

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*6 and 21-27*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Assignee's Rep. authorized the Examiner to amend claim 6 as proposed in the non-entered amendment filed 02/12/2009 by Examiner's Amendment as soon as possible.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** *affirmed in part, reversed in part by BPAI*

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** *11:35AM*

02/17/2009  
 /Julie Anne Watko/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)